

# Uniformization and the Poincaré metric on the leaves of a foliation by curves

A. Lins Neto\*

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove that a holomorphic foliation by curves, on a complex compact manifold  $M$ , whose singularities are non degenerated and whose tangent line bundle admits a metric of negative curvature, satisfies the following properties: **(a):** All leaves are hyperbolic. **(b):** The Poincaré metric on the leaves is continuous. **(c):** The set of uniformizations of the leaves by the Poincaré disc  $\mathbb{D}$  is normal. Moreover, if  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of uniformizations which converges to a map  $\alpha: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ , then either  $\alpha$  is a constant map (a singularity), or  $\alpha$  is an uniformization of some leaf. This result generalizes Theorem B of [LN], in which we prove the same facts for foliations of degree  $\geq 2$  on projective spaces.

**Keywords:** holomorphic foliations, Poincaré metric on the leaves, uniformization of the leaves.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a holomorphic foliation by curves, with isolated singularities, in a complex compact manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$ , say  $M$ . We will denote by  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  the set of singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  and by  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{F})$  the set

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{F}) = \{ \alpha: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M; \alpha \text{ is a holomorphic and } \alpha(\mathbb{D}) \text{ is contained in some leaf of } \mathcal{F} \}$$

with the topology of uniform convergence on the compact parts of  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ . In this paper we will deal with the following questions:

Received 5 September 2000.

\*This research was partially supported by Pronex – Dynamical Systems, FINEP-CNPq.

**Question 1.** When all leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are uniformized by the Poincaré disk? If this is the case, we will say that the foliation is *hyperbolic* and we will denote by  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$  the set

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}) = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{F}); \alpha \text{ is an uniformization of some leaf of } \mathcal{F} \}.$$

**Question 2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a hyperbolic foliation on  $M$ . When  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$  is normal? When the Poincaré metric on the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous?

Let us clarify the last question. Fix a point  $p \in M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $(A, (x, y))$  be a foliated chart, where  $p \in A$  and  $x: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $y: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  are such that  $x(p) = 0$ ,  $y(p) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}|_A$  is defined by  $dy = 0$ . Since the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are hyperbolic and the Poincaré metric in a hyperbolic Riemann surface is unique, the Poincaré metric of the leaf passing through  $(0, y)$  can be written as  $\mu_p = f_A(x, y)|dx|^2$ . Of course, the function  $f_A$  is real analytic with respect to the variable  $x$ , but it could be not continuous with respect to  $y$ . Let us observe that, if  $(B, (u, v))$  is another foliated chart such that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $u = U(x, y)$  and  $v = V(y)$  in  $A \cap B$ , so that, in this new coordinate system  $\mu_p$  can be written as  $f_B(u, v)|du|^2$ , where  $f_A(x, y) = f_B(U(x, y), V(y)) \cdot |U_x(x, y)|^2$ . Therefore, the functions  $f_A$  and  $f_B$  have the same class. We say that the *Poincaré metric on the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous*, if  $f_A$ , defined as above, is continuous for every foliated chart  $(A, (x, y))$ . In fact, it is known that the Poincaré metric on the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous if, and only if,  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$  is normal and for any convergent sequence  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ , where  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\alpha(0) \notin \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$  (cf. [V], [C] and [LN]).

In this paper we intend to generalize some of the results of [LN], which were proved for singular holomorphic foliations on projective spaces. In order to state our main result we recall the concept of tangent bundle associated to a holomorphic foliation. A foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on a complex manifold  $M$  can be defined by an open covering  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ , a collection of holomorphic vector fields  $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  and a multiplicative cocycle  $(f_{\alpha\beta})_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset}$  such that (cf. [Br]):

- (i)  $X_\alpha$  is a holomorphic vector field on  $U_\alpha$ .
- (ii) If  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$  then  $f_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$  and  $X_\beta = f_{\alpha\beta} \cdot X_\alpha$  on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ .

The *tangent bundle* of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is the holomorphic line bundle associated to the cocycle  $(f_{\alpha\beta})_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset}$ . We will denote this line bundle by  $T_{\mathcal{F}}$  and its dual by  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^*$ . Now we can state our main result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation by curves on a compact complex manifold  $M$ . Suppose that  $T_{\mathcal{F}}$  has a metric of negative curvature and that all singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  are non degenerated. Then:*

- (a) *All leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are hyperbolic.*
- (b)  *$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$  is normal. Moreover,  $\overline{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})} = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}) \cup \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ , that is, if  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a convergent sequence in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ , say  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ , then, either  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ , or  $\alpha \equiv c$ , is a constant map, where  $c \in \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ .*
- (c) *The Poincaré metric on the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous.*

As a consequence, we have the following result, which includes Theorem B of [LN]:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation by curves on a compact complex manifold  $M$ . Suppose that all singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  are non degenerated and  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^*$  is ample. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 1. In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a foliation on  $\mathbb{CP}(n)$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  with non degenerated singularities, then  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 1.*

**Proof.** It is well known that if  $L$  is a ample line bundle on  $M$ , then  $L^*$  has a metric of negative curvature. This implies the first assertion. On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a foliation on  $\mathbb{CP}(n)$  of degree  $d$ , then  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^* = (d - 1)H$ , where  $H$  denotes the divisor of a hyperplane. It follows that, if  $d \geq 2$  then  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^*$  is ample. This implies the last assertion.  $\square$

The following result is a consequence of Corollary 1 and of the Nakai-Moishezon criterion (cf. [F] pg. 18).

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation by curves on a compact complex surface  $M$ . Suppose that all singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  are non degenerated and that  $(T_{\mathcal{F}}^*)^2 > 0$  and  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^* \cdot C > 0$  for all irreducible curve  $C$  on  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 1.*

**Example.** We can apply Corollary 2 in the following case: Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation of degree  $d$  on  $\mathbb{CP}(2)$  with  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k, p_{k+1}, \dots, p_N\}$ , where  $p_{k+1}, \dots, p_N$  are non degenerated and  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are degenerated singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that:

- (a) For each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is reduced (in the sense of Seidemberg [Se]) with just one blowing-up at point  $p_j$ . Denote by  $M$  the manifold obtained from  $\mathbb{CP}(2)$  by blowing-up at the points  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ , by  $\pi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}(2)$  the blowing-up map and by  $\mathcal{G}$  the strict transform of  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\pi$ . Let  $E_j = \pi^{-1}(p_j)$ .
- (b) All singularities of  $\mathcal{G}$  are non degenerated.
- (c) For each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $m_j$  be the generalized multiplicity of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p_j$  (cf. [Br]). Assume that:

(c.1) For any irreducible curve  $C$  on  $\mathbb{CP}(2)$  we have

$$\sum_j \ell_j(m_j - 1) < \ell(d - 1)$$

where  $\ell = dg(C)$  and  $\ell_j = mult(C, p_j)$ .

(c.2)  $\sum_j (m_j - 1)^2 < (d - 1)^2$ .

Then  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfies (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 1.

The generalized multiplicity of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p_j$  is defined as follows: let  $\omega$  be a holomorphic 1-form which represents  $\mathcal{F}$  in a neighborhood of  $p_j$ . Since  $p_j$  is a singularity of  $\omega$ , the form  $\pi^*(\omega)$  is identically zero along  $E_j$ . Then,  $m_j$  is order of  $E_j$  in the divisor of zeroes of  $\pi^*(\omega)$ .

**Proof.** We know that  $T_{\mathcal{F}}^* = (d - 1)H$ , where  $H$  denotes the divisor of a hyperplane on  $\mathbb{CP}(2)$ . It follows from [Br] that  $T_{\mathcal{G}}^* = (d - 1)\tilde{H} - \sum_j (m_j - 1)E_j$ , where  $\tilde{H} = \pi^*(H)$ . Now, as the reader can check, condition (c.2) implies that  $(T_{\mathcal{G}}^*)^2 > 0$  and condition (c.1) that  $T_{\mathcal{G}}^* \cdot C > 0$ , for any irreducible curve  $C$  on  $M$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Concerning the hyperbolicity of the leaves of a foliation by curves, with degenerated singularities, the following result is known (cf. [G]):

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on a nonsingular projective manifold defined by a meromorphic vector field with an  $\ell$ -ample divisor,  $\ell > 0$ . Suppose that either  $\mathcal{F}$  has no singularities or that it has isolated singularities, say  $p_1, \dots, p_s$ , and that

$$\sum_{j=1}^s (\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, p_j) - 1) < \ell$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, p_j)$  is the Lojasiewicz exponent of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p_j$ . Then all leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are hyperbolic.

According to [G], a divisor  $D$  on  $M$  is  $\ell$ -ample if there exists an embedding of  $M$  on a projective space, such that  $D$  is equivalent to  $-\ell \cdot H$ , where  $H$  is the hyperplane section of  $M$ . Therefore, the hypothesis of the Theorem, corresponds to the fact that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  can be defined by a meromorphic vector field  $X$  on  $M$  such that its divisor of zeroes is empty and its divisor of poles is  $\ell \cdot H$ . The Lojasiewicz exponent of a holomorphic vector field  $X$  with an isolated singularity at  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(X, p) = \min\{k > 0; |X(z)| \geq C|z - p|^k, C > 0, \\ \text{for any } z \text{ in a neighborhood of } p\}.$$

If  $Y = f \cdot X$ , where  $f$  is holomorphic and  $f(p) \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(X, p) = \mathcal{L}(Y, p)$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{L}(X, p)$  depends only on the germ at  $p$  of the foliation generated by  $X$ . The Lojasiewicz exponent of  $\mathcal{F}$  at an isolated singularity  $p$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, p) = \mathcal{L}(X, p),$$

where  $X$  is any holomorphic vector field defining  $\mathcal{F}$  in a neighborhood of  $p$ . This result answers Question 1 in this case, but not Question 2, that is, it is not known if the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies properties (b) and (c) of Theorem 1. The proof of the above result in [G] is done by constructing a  $C^2$  hermitian metric in  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  which induces strictly negative gaussian curvature in the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . On the other hand, in the proof of Theorem 1 (and also of Theorem B of [LN]) we construct a continuous hermitian metric in  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  which is complete and induces an ultrahyperbolic metric (in the sense of Ahlfors [Ah-1] and [Ah-2]) on the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The completeness of the metric implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies (b) and (c) of Theorem 1. The following problem is natural:

**Problem.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a holomorphic foliation with isolated singularities, on a complex compact manifold. Suppose that all leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are hyperbolic. Is the Poincaré metric on the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  continuous? Is  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$  normal and  $\overline{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})} = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}) \cup \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ ?

We would like to observe that the answer is known to be positive only in two cases: the case of Theorem 1 and in the case in which the singular set of  $\mathcal{F}$  is empty (cf. [C]).

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

In the proof we will use the concept of  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic metric and Ahlfors's lemma. In 1938 Ahlfors introduced the concept of ultrahyperbolic conformal

metric in a Riemann surface (cf. [Ah-1] and [Ah-2]). A *conformal pseudo-riemannian metric* in a Riemann surface  $S$ , is a quadratic form  $g$  in  $S$ , which can be written in a holomorphic coordinate system  $(z, U)$  as  $g_z = f(z)|dz|^2$ , where  $f$  is a continuous function on the open set  $z(U) \subset \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $f \geq 0$  and the set  $f^{-1}(0)$  is discrete. In this case, we define the length of a  $C^1$  curve  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow S$  with respect to  $g$ , by

$$\ell_g(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \sqrt{g} = \int_a^b \sqrt{g_{\gamma(t)}(\gamma'(t))} dt. \quad (1)$$

It is not difficult to see that if  $\gamma(a) \neq \gamma(b)$  then  $\ell_g(\gamma) > 0$ . Therefore we can define a distance in  $S$  by

$$d_g(p, q) = \inf\{\ell_g(\gamma); \gamma \text{ is a } C^1 \text{ curve joining } p \text{ and } q\}. \quad (2)$$

**Definition 1.** Let  $g$  be a conformal pseudo-riemannian metric in  $S$ . We say that  $g$  is *ultrahyperbolic of curvature bounded by  $a < 0$* , if for every  $p \in U$  with  $g_p \neq 0$ , there exist a holomorphic coordinate system  $(z, U)$  around  $p$  with  $z(p) = 0$ ,  $g|_U = f(z)|dz|^2$ ,  $f > 0$  in  $z(U) = V$ , and a positive  $C^2$  function  $h$  in  $V$ , such that  $h(0) = f(0)$ ,  $h \leq f$  in  $V$  and the gaussian curvature of the metric  $h(z)|dz|^2$ , say  $k$ , satisfies  $k \leq a < 0$  in  $V$ . We say that  $g$  is *ultrahyperbolic*, if it is ultrahyperbolic of curvature bounded by  $a < 0$ , for some  $a < 0$ .

**Remark 2.** We would like to observe that Ahlfors' definition is more general, in the sense that he demands that  $f$  is just upper semicontinuous. However, in this paper all metrics that will appear will be continuous.

**Remark 3.** It is not difficult to see that this concept is well defined and invariant by biholomorphisms. Moreover, if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two Riemann surfaces,  $F: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is a holomorphic non constant map and  $g$  is a conformal pseudo-riemannian metric in  $S_2$ , ultrahyperbolic of curvature bounded by  $a < 0$ , then  $F^*(g)$  is also.

The following result was proved by Ahlfors:

**Theorem (Ahlfors Lemma).** *Let  $S$  be a Riemann surface and suppose that there is a conformal pseudo-riemannian metric  $g$  in  $S$  which is ultrahyperbolic of curvature bounded by  $-a^2 < 0$ . Then  $S$  is hyperbolic and  $g \leq \frac{1}{a^2} \mathbb{P}_S$ , where  $\mathbb{P}_S$  is the Poincaré metric of  $S$ . In particular, we have*

$$d_g(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{a} d_{\mathbb{P}}(p, q), \quad \forall p, q \in S,$$

where  $d_{\mathbb{P}}$  is the Poincaré distance in  $S$ .

Let us state another result that will be used.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $S$  be a Riemann surface and  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  be ultrahyperbolic on  $S$  of curvature bounded by  $-a_1 < 0, \dots, -a_k < 0$ , respectively. Define  $g_M = \max\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ . Then  $g_M$  is ultrahyperbolic of curvature bounded by  $-a_M < 0$ , where  $a_M = \min\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  respectively.*

**Proof.** We observe that if  $g_j = f_j(z)|dz|^2$ , locally, then  $\max\{g_1, \dots, g_k\} = \max\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}|dz|^2$ . Therefore, the proof follows directly from Definition 1.  $\square$

We now introduce the notion of  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic metric for a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $\geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a singular holomorphic foliation on  $M$ . We say that a continuous hermitian form  $H$  on  $M$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -pseudo-metric, if for any leaf  $L \subset M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the quadratic form  $h_L$  defined on  $L$  by the restriction  $H|_L$ , is a conformal pseudo-riemannian metric on  $L$ . We say that  $H$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic of curvature bounded by  $a < 0$ , if for any leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $h_L$  is ultrahyperbolic of curvature bounded by  $a < 0$ . We say that  $H$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic, if it is  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic of curvature bounded by  $a < 0$  for some  $a < 0$ . We say that  $H$  is complete, if the pseudo-distance  $d_H$ , defined on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  by (1) and (2), is complete.

We now prove a foliated version of Ahlfors' lemma.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a singular holomorphic foliation on a complex compact manifold  $M$ . Suppose that there exists a continuous  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic hermitian metric  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ . Then the following properties are true:*

- (a) *All leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  are hyperbolic. Moreover, if there exists a metric  $\delta$  on  $M$  such that  $\delta \leq d_\mu$ , where  $d_\mu$  is the pseudo-distance induced by  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$  is normal.*
- (b) *Suppose that  $\mu$  is complete in  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ . Then the Poincaré metric on the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  is continuous. Moreover, if  $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a convergent sequence in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ , say  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  and  $\alpha_n(0) \rightarrow p \in M$ , then*
  - (b.1) *If  $p \in \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $\alpha \equiv p$ , is a constant.*
  - (b.2) *If  $p \notin \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$ .*

**Proof.** The first part of assertion (a) is immediate from Ahlfors' Lemma. On the other hand, the proof of assertion (b) is similar to the proof of Theorem A in [LN]. Let us prove the second part of assertion (a). Suppose that  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic

of curvature bounded by  $-a^2 < 0$  and let  $\delta$  be as in the hypothesis. Fix  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ . It follows from Ahlfors' Lemma that

$$\delta(\alpha(z_2), \alpha(z_1)) \leq d_\mu(\alpha(z_2), \alpha(z_1)) \leq d_L(\alpha(z_2), \alpha(z_1)) \leq \frac{1}{a} d_P(z_2, z_1),$$

where  $d_L$  is the distance induced by  $d_\mu$  on  $L = \alpha(\mathbb{D})$  and  $d_P$  is the Poincaré distance on  $\mathbb{D}$ . In particular  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})$  is equicontinuous with respect to  $\delta$  and  $d_P$ . Now, fix  $0 < r < 1$ . Since  $M$  and  $D_r := \{z \in \mathbb{D}; |z| \leq r\}$  are compact, it follows from Arzela-Ascoli Theorem that the set

$$\mathcal{U}_r := \{\alpha|_{D_r}; \alpha \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F})\} \subset C^0(D_r, M)$$

is paracompact in the topology of uniform convergence in  $C^0(D_r, M)$ , if we consider in  $D_r$  the Poincaré metric and in  $M$  the metric  $\delta$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{F}) \subset C^0(\mathbb{D}, M)$  is normal.  $\square$

Now, Theorem 1 will be a consequence of Proposition 2 and of the following result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation by curves on a compact complex manifold  $M$ . Suppose that  $T_{\mathcal{F}}$  has a metric of negative curvature and that all singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  are non degenerated. Then there exists a continuous, complete and  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic hermitian metric  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ .*

**Proof.** We prove first that, with a metric of negative curvature on  $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we can produce a hermitian metric on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  which induces in the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  a conformal metric with gaussian negative curvature (in general not bounded from zero). Let  $h$  be a fixed  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric on  $M$  and  $\nu$  be a  $C^\infty$  metric of negative curvature on  $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Fix a covering  $(U_j)_{j \in J}$  by Stein open sets of  $M$  and collections  $(X_j)_{j \in J}$  and  $(f_{ij})_{U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset}$ , where

- (i)  $X_j$  is a holomorphic vector field on  $U_j$  which defines  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_j}$ .
- (ii) If  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$  then  $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$  and  $X_j = f_{ij} \cdot X_i$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ .

The bundle  $T_{\mathcal{F}}$  can be defined as

$$T_{\mathcal{F}} = \sqcup_{j \in J} (U_j \times \mathbb{C}) / \simeq$$

where  $\sqcup$  denotes disjoint union and  $\simeq$  is the equivalence relation on  $\sqcup_{j \in J} (U_j \times \mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$(p, v_i) \simeq (q, v_j) \iff (p, v_i) \in U_i \times \mathbb{C}, (q, v_j) \in U_j \times \mathbb{C}, p = q \quad (3)$$

$$\text{and } v_i = f_{ij}(p) \cdot v_j.$$



For each  $j \in J$ , let us define  $f_j: U_j \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  by  $f_j(p) = v(p, 1_j)$ , where  $1_j$  denotes the section of  $T_{\mathcal{F}}$  which in the trivialization  $U_j \times \mathbb{C}$  is given by  $1_j(p) \equiv 1$ . It follows from (3) that if  $p \in U_i \cap U_j$ , then  $(p, 1) \in U_j \times \mathbb{C}$  is equivalent to  $(p, f_{ij}(p)) \in U_i \times \mathbb{C}$ , so that  $1_j = f_{ij} \cdot 1_i$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . This implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(p) &= v(p, 1_j(p)) = v(p, f_{ij}(p) \cdot 1_i(p)) \\ &= |f_{ij}(p)|^2 \cdot f_i(p) \implies f_j = |f_{ij}|^2 \cdot f_i \text{ on } U_i \cap U_j. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Now, consider the hermitian metric  $g_j$  on  $U_j \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  defined by

$$g_j = f_j \cdot \frac{h}{h(X_j)}.$$

Since  $X_j = f_{ij} \cdot X_i$  on  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ , we have

$$g_j = |f_{ij}|^2 \cdot f_i \cdot \frac{h}{h(f_{ij} \cdot X_i)} = f_i \cdot \frac{h}{h(X_i)} = g_i,$$

on  $U_i \cap U_j \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ . This implies that there exists a hermitian metric  $g$  on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $g|_{U_j \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})} = g_j$ .

We now use that  $v$  has negative curvature. This is equivalent to the fact that  $\ln(f_j)$  is strictly pluri subharmonic (briefly spsh) for all  $j \in J$  (cf. [G-H]). Let  $p \in U_j \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $L$  be the leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  through  $p$  and let us prove that the conformal metric  $g|_L$ , induced by  $g$  on  $L$ , has gaussian negative curvature at  $p$ . Let  $z: D_\epsilon \rightarrow L$  be the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dz}{dT} = X_j(z(T))$$

with initial condition  $z(0) = p$ , where  $D_\epsilon = \{T \in \mathbb{C}; |T| < \epsilon\}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  is small. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z^*(g) &= g_{z(T)}(z'(T))|dT|^2 = f_j(z(T)) \frac{h_{z(T)}(z'(T))}{h_{z(T)}(X_j(z(T)))} \\ &= f_j(z(T))|dT|^2 := f(T)|dT|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the gaussian curvature of  $g|_L$  at  $p$  is

$$k_g(p) = -2 \frac{1}{f(0)} \frac{\partial^2 \ln(f)}{\partial T \partial \bar{T}}(0). \quad (5)$$

Since  $f(T) = f_j(z(T))$  and  $\ln(f_j)$  is spsh, we get  $k_g(p) < 0$ . We observe that (5) implies that

$$k_g = -2 \frac{1}{f_j^3} [f_j \cdot \mathcal{L}(f_j)(X_j) - |\partial f_j(X_j)|^2], \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(f_j)$  is the Levi form of  $f_j$ , which is defined in a holomorphic coordinate system  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$  by

$$\mathcal{L}(f_j) = \sum_{r,s=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f_j}{\partial w_r \partial \bar{w}_s} dw_r d\bar{w}_s.$$

This implies, in particular, that  $k_g$  is of class  $C^\infty$ . Therefore, if  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \emptyset$ , then  $k_g \leq -a^2 < 0$ , for some  $a > 0$ , and we could apply Proposition 2 to this metric to obtain Theorem 1. However, if  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$ , we could have  $\limsup_{q \rightarrow p} k_g(q) = 0$ , where  $p$  is some singularity of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Our work now, will be to modify this metric in a neighborhood of the singularities of  $\mathcal{F}$  and obtain another which is  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic and complete. We need a definition.

**Definition 3.** Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of a point  $p$  in a complex manifold and  $g$  be a continuous hermitian metric on  $U \setminus \{p\}$ . We say that  $g$  is *complete at  $p$* , if for any  $C^1$  path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ , such that  $\gamma(1) = p$  and  $\gamma[0, 1) \subset U \setminus \{p\}$ , we have  $\ell_g(\gamma) = +\infty$ , where

$$\ell_g(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma|_{[0,1)}} \sqrt{g}.$$

**Remark 4.** Let  $F$  be a finite subset of  $M$ . A continuous hermitian metric  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus F$  is complete if, and only if, it is complete at all points of  $F$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $X$  be a holomorphic vector field on  $B_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; |z| < r\}$ , where  $|z|^2 := \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2$  and  $r \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $0 \in B_r$  is the unique singularity of  $X$  in  $B_r$ , which is non degenerated. Then, for any  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric  $h$  on  $B_r$  and any pluri-harmonic function  $u$  on  $B_r$ , the hermitian metric

$$\mu = \frac{\exp(u)}{\ln^2(|z|)} \cdot \frac{h}{h(X)}$$

satisfies the following properties:

- (a)  $\mu$  is complete at  $0 \in B_1$ .

(b)  $k_\mu < 0$  on  $B_r$  and  $\limsup_{q \rightarrow 0} k_\mu(q) < 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $z(T)$  be the solution of  $dz/dT = X(z(T))$  such that  $z(0) = p$  and  $f(T) = \frac{\exp(u(z(T)))}{\ln^2(|z(T)|)}$ . It follows from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}k_\mu(p) &= \frac{1}{f(0)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T \partial \bar{T}} [u \circ z + \ln(\ln^{-2}(|z|))](0) \\ &= \frac{\ln^2(|p|)}{\exp(u(p))} \frac{\partial^2 \ln(\ln^{-2}(|z|))}{\partial T \partial \bar{T}}(0), \end{aligned}$$

because  $u$  is pluri-harmonic. On the other hand, if we denote by  $\langle, \rangle$  the hermitian product  $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_j z_j \bar{w}_j$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \ln(\ln^{-2}(|z|))}{\partial T \partial \bar{T}} &= \frac{1}{|z|^4 \ln(|z|)} (|z|^2 |X(z)|^2 - |\langle X(z), z \rangle|^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{|\langle X(z), z \rangle|^2}{2|z|^4 \ln^2(|z|)}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

as the reader can check, by using that  $dz/dT = X(z)$ . Let us denote by  $\phi(z)$  the function in the right side of (7). Since  $|\langle X(z), z \rangle|^2 \leq |z|^2 |X(z)|^2$  and  $|\langle X(z), z \rangle|^2 = |z|^2 |X(z)|^2$  if, and only if  $X(z) = \lambda \cdot z$ , we get  $\phi > 0$  which implies that  $k_\mu < 0$  on  $B_r \setminus \{0\}$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}k_\mu(z) &= \frac{\ln^2(|z|)}{\exp(u(z))} \cdot \phi(z) = \frac{|\ln(|z|)|}{\exp(u(z))} \left( \frac{|X(z)|^2}{|z|^2} - \frac{|\langle X(z), z \rangle|^2}{|z|^4} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{|\langle X(z), z \rangle|^2}{2|z|^4 \exp(u(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|z| < e^{-1/2}$  then  $|\ln(|z|)| > 1/2$  and so

$$-k_\mu(z) \geq \frac{|X(z)|^2}{\exp(u(z))|z|^2},$$

for  $|z| < e^{-1/2}$ . Since 0 is a non degenerated singularity of  $X$ , there exists  $0 < c < 1$  such that  $c^{-1}|z| \geq |X(z)| \geq c \cdot |z|$ , for  $|z|$  small, which implies that  $\limsup_{q \rightarrow 0} k_\mu(q) \leq -a < 0$ , where  $a = c^2/\exp(u(0))$ . This proves (b).

Let us prove (a). Since  $h$  is a continuous hermitian metric, if we fix  $r_1 < r$ , there exists  $C > 1$  such that  $C^{-1}|v|^2 \leq h_z(v) \leq C|v|^2$  and  $\exp(u(z)) > C^{-1}$  for any  $|z| < r_1$  and any  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . It follows that

$$g_z(v) = \frac{\exp(u(z))h_z(v)}{h_z(X(z))\ln^2(|z|)} \geq C^{-3} \frac{|v|^2}{|X(z)|^2 \ln^2(|z|)} \geq b \frac{|v|^2}{|z|^2 \ln^2(|z|)},$$

where  $b = c^2 \cdot C^{-3} > 0$ . Since the metric  $|dz|^2/|z|^2 \ln^2(|z|)$  is complete at  $0 \in B_r$ ,  $\mu$  is also complete at 0.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ ,  $h$  be a  $C^\infty$  hermitian metric on  $M$  and  $g$  be the hermitian metric defined before Lemma 1 from  $h$  and from the metric  $v$ . There exists a continuous hermitian metric  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ , neighborhoods  $W_j \subset V_j \subset U_j$  of  $p_j$  and holomorphic coordinate systems  $\phi_j: U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , with the following properties:*

- (a)  $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $\mu$  coincides with  $g$  on  $M \setminus \bigcup_j V_j$ .
- (b)  $\phi(p_j) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\phi_j(W_j) = B_{r_j} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; |z| < r_j\}$ , where  $r_j < 1$ .
- (c)  $\mu = \phi_j^* \left( \frac{\exp(u_j)}{\ln^2(|z|)} \right) \cdot \frac{h}{h(X_j)}$  on  $W_j$ , where  $u_j$  is pluri-harmonic on  $B_{r_j}$  and  $X_j$  is a holomorphic vector field which defines  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_j}$ .
- (d)  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic and complete on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Proof.** First observe that (c), Lemma 1 and Remark 4 imply that  $\mu$  is complete on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ . Let us show how to modify the metric  $g$  in a neighborhood of  $p_j$  to obtain a metric satisfying property (c). Fix holomorphic coordinate systems  $\psi_j: U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ , such that  $p_j \in U_j$ ,  $\psi_j(p_j) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\psi_j(U_j) = B_1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Let  $X_j$  be a holomorphic vector field which defines  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_j}$ , so that, on  $U_j$  we have  $g = f_j \cdot \frac{h}{h(X_j)}$ . As we have seen the function  $f := \ln(f_j)$  is  $C^\infty$  and spsh. Therefore, in the coordinate system  $(U_j, z = \psi_j)$ , we can write

$$f(z) = \ln(f_j)(z) = v_j(z) + \mathcal{L}_j(z) + R_j(z),$$

where,  $\mathcal{L}_j$  is the positive definite Levi form

$$\mathcal{L}_j(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}(0) z_i \cdot \bar{z}_j,$$

$v_j(z) = f(0) + k(z) + \overline{k(z)}$ , where  $k$  is degree two complex polynomial

$$k(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(0) z_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}(0) z_i \cdot \bar{z}_j$$

and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_j(z)}{|z|^2} = 0.$$

Observe that  $v_j$  is pluri-harmonic.

Since  $\mathcal{L}_j$  is positive definite, after a linear change of coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we can suppose that

$$\mathcal{L}_j(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n 2|z_j|^2 = 2|z|^2$$

and that  $\ln(f_j)(z) = v_j(z) + 2|z|^2 + R_j(z)$  is defined in  $B_r \subset \psi_j(U_j)$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ . Let  $\ell_\epsilon(z) = \epsilon + v_j(z) + |z|^2$ . We assert that there exist  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_3 < r$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\ln(f_j)(z) > \ell_\epsilon(z) \text{ if } r_2 < |z| < r_3 \text{ and } \ln(f_j)(z) < \ell_\epsilon(z) \text{ if } |z| < r_1. \quad (8)$$

In fact, since  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} |R(z)|/|z|^2 = 0$ , let  $0 < r_3 < r$  be such that  $R(z) < \frac{1}{2}|z|^2$  for  $|z| \leq r_3$ . If  $\delta(z) = \ln(f_j)(z) - \ell_\epsilon(z) = |z|^2 + R(z) - \epsilon$  and  $|z| \leq r_3$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}|z|^2 - \epsilon \leq |z|^2 - |R(z)| - \epsilon \leq \delta(z) \leq |z|^2 + |R(z)| - \epsilon \leq \frac{3}{2}|z|^2 - \epsilon.$$

If we take  $r_2 = r_3/2$  and  $\epsilon < r_3^2/8$ , we get for  $r_2 < |z| < r_3$  that  $\delta(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 - \epsilon \geq r_3^2/8 - \epsilon > 0$ , and so  $\ln(f_j)(z) > \ell_\epsilon(z)$  for  $r_2 < |z| < r_3$ . On the other hand, if  $r_1 < \sqrt{2\epsilon/3} < r_3/(2\sqrt{3}) < r_2$ , we get for  $|z| < r_1$  that

$$\delta(z) \leq \frac{3}{2}|z|^2 - \epsilon < \frac{3}{2}r_1^2 - \epsilon < 0,$$

and so  $\ln(f_j)(z) < \ell_\epsilon(z)$  if  $|z| < r_1$ , which proves the assertion. Define  $k_j: B_r \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  by

$$\begin{cases} k_j(z) = \exp(\ell_\epsilon(z)) & \text{if } |z| < r_1 \\ k_j(z) = \max\{\exp(\ell_\epsilon(z)), f_j(z)\} & \text{if } r_1 \leq |z| \leq r_2 \\ k_j(z) = f_j(z) & \text{if } |z| > r_2 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

It follows from (8) that  $k_j$  is continuous and  $\ln(k_j)$  is spsh (because  $\ln(f_j)$  and  $\ell_\epsilon$  are spsh). We do this construction for all singularities  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  and define a metric  $\mu_1$  on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  by

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 = k_j \circ \psi_j \frac{h}{h(X_j)} & \text{on } \cup_{j=1}^k \psi_j^{-1}(B_{r_3}) \\ \mu_1 = g & \text{on } M \setminus \cup_{j=1}^k \psi_j^{-1}(B_{r_3}) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

This metric is clearly continuous and satisfies the following property: it is  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic in any open set of the form  $M \setminus A$ , where  $A$  is an open neighborhood of  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ . This last assertion follows from Proposition 1. We leave the details of its proof for the reader.

Now, we will modify the metric  $\mu_1$  in  $\psi_j^{-1}(B_{r_1})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , in order to obtain the metric  $\mu$  of Lemma 2.

**Assertion.** Let  $\varphi(t) = (\ln(t))^{-2}$  and  $\varphi_{a,\alpha}(t) = a\varphi(t_o(\frac{t}{t_o})^\alpha) = a\varphi(t_o^{1-\alpha}t^\alpha) = a\varphi([t/t_o^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}]^\alpha)$ . Given  $0 < t_o < 1$  there are  $\epsilon, a > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that

$$(i) \varphi_{a,\alpha}(t) \leq e^t \text{ if } t_o \leq t \leq t_o + \epsilon.$$

$$(ii) \varphi_{a,\alpha}(t) \geq e^t \text{ if } t_o - \epsilon \leq t \leq t_o.$$

**Proof.** Let  $a > 0$  be such that  $a\varphi(t_o) = e^{t_o}$ . Observe that  $\varphi_{a,\alpha}(t_o) = a\varphi(t_o) = e^{t_o}$ . On the other hand,

$$\varphi'_{a,\alpha}(t_o) = a\alpha\varphi'(t_o) = \frac{2a\alpha}{t_o|\ln^3(t_o)|} = \frac{2\alpha e^{t_o}}{t_o|\ln(t_o)|} > 0.$$

Therefore, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $0 < \varphi'_{a,\alpha}(t_o) < e^{t_o} = \frac{de^t}{dt}|_{t=t_o}$ . On the other hand,

$$\varphi'_{a,\alpha}(t_o) = \frac{2\alpha e^{t_o}}{t_o|\ln(t_o)|} < e^{t_o} \implies \alpha < \frac{t_o|\ln(t_o)|}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2e} < 1,$$

because  $t_o < 1$ , as the reader can check. This implies the assertion.  $\square$

Observe that the function  $\varphi_{a,\alpha}$  of the assertion is defined for  $t < 1$  because  $t_o^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha} > 1$ .

Let  $k_j$  and  $r_1 < r_2$  be as in (9), so that  $k_j(z) = \ell_\epsilon(z) = \epsilon + v_j(z) + |z|^2$  if  $|z| < r_1$ . Fix  $0 < r_o < \min\{1, r_1\}$  and consider a function  $\varphi_{a,\alpha}(t)$  defined for  $t < 1$ , as in the assertion, by

$$\varphi_{a,\alpha}(t) = a\varphi([t/r_o^{2(\alpha-1)/\alpha}]^\alpha)$$

where  $\varphi_{a,\alpha}(r_o^2) = e^{r_o^2}$ ,  $\varphi_{a,\alpha}(t) \leq e^t$  if  $r_o^2 \leq t \leq r_o^2 + \epsilon$  and  $\varphi_{a,\alpha}(t) \geq e^t$  if  $r_o^2 - \epsilon \leq t \leq r_o^2$ . Define  $m_j$  by

$$\begin{cases} m_j(z) = \exp(|z|^2) & \text{for } r_o \leq |z| < r_1 \\ m_j(z) = \varphi_{a,\alpha}(|z|^2) & \text{for } |z| \leq r_o \end{cases}$$

Since  $\varphi_{a,\alpha}(r_o^2) = e^{r_o^2}$ ,  $m_j$  is well defined and continuous. Moreover,

$$m_j(z) = \max\{\exp(|z|^2), \varphi_{a,\alpha}(|z|^2)\} \text{ if } r_o^2 - \epsilon < |z|^2 < r_o^2 + \epsilon. \quad (11)$$

Define  $n_j: B_{r_3} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  by

$$\begin{cases} n_j(z) = k_j(z) & \text{if } r_1 < |z| < r_3 \\ n_j(z) = \exp(\epsilon + v_j(z)) \cdot m_j(z) & \text{if } |z| \leq r_1 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

It follows from (9) that  $n_j$  is continuous. Do this construction for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and define the metric  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  by

$$\begin{cases} \mu = n_j \circ \psi_j \frac{h}{h(X_j)} & \text{on } \bigcup_{j=1}^k \psi_j^{-1}(B_{r_3}) \\ \mu = \mu_i & \text{on } M \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k \psi_j^{-1}(B_{r_3}) \end{cases}$$

It follows from (10) and (12) that  $\mu$  is continuous. On the other hand, if we consider the change of variables  $w = z/r_o^{\frac{\alpha-1}{a}}$ ,  $z \in B_{r_1}$ , we have for  $|w|$  small enough, that

$$n_j(w) = \exp(\epsilon + v_j(r_o^{\frac{\alpha-1}{a}} w)) \varphi_{a,\alpha}(r_o^{\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{a}} |w|^2) = \exp(u_j(w)) \ln^{-2}(|w|),$$

where  $u_j(w) = \ln(a/4\alpha^2)(\epsilon + v_j(r_o^{\frac{\alpha-1}{a}} w))$  is pluri-harmonic. Now, Proposition 1, (11) and Lemma 1 imply that  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -ultrahyperbolic and complete. This proves Lemma 2 and Theorem 2.  $\square$

## References

- [Ah-1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Conformal Invariants. Topics in Geometric Function Theory*. McGraw-Hill (1973).
- [Ah-2] L. V. Ahlfors, *An Extension of Schwarz' Lemma*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **43** (1938), 359-364.
- [Br] M. Brunella, *Feuilletages Holomorphes sur les Surfaces Complexes Compactes*. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4e série, t. **30** (1997), 569-594.
- [C] A. Candel, *Uniformization of Surface Laminations*. Ann. Sc. École Norm. Sup., **26**(4) (1993), 489-515.
- [F] R. Friedman, *Algebraic Surfaces and Holomorphic Vector Bundles*. Universitext, Springer, (1998).
- [G] A. A. Glutsyuk, *Hyperbolicity of Leaves of a Generic One-Dimensional Holomorphic Foliation on a Nonsingular Projective Algebraic Manifold*. Proc. of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, **213** (1996), 83-103.

- [G-H] Griffiths-Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*. John-Wiley and Sons, (1994).
- [LN] A Lins Neto, *Simultaneous Uniformization for the Leaves of Projective Foliations by Curves*. Bol. da Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática, **25**(2) (1994), 181-206.
- [Se] A. Seidenberg, *Reduction of singularities of the differential equation  $Ady = Bdx$* . Amer. J. de Math., **90** (1968), 248-269.
- [V] A. Verjovsky, *An Uniformization Theorem for Holomorphic Foliations*. Contemp. Math., **58**(III) (1987), 233-253.

**A. Lins Neto**

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada  
Estrada Dona Castorina, 110  
Horto, Rio de Janeiro  
Brasil

E-mail: alcides@impa.br